

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,000	02/26/2004	Thomas M. Mayers	3608	9213
7590 04/03/2008 USG Corporation			EXAMINER	
700 N. Highway 45			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN	
Libertyville, IL 60048			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/789,000 MAYERS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alicia Chevalier 1794 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/789,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

#### Request for Continued Examination

- The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53 (d) filed on November 16, 2007 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- Claims 1-14 are pending in the application, claims 10-14 are withdrawn from consideration.
- Amendments to the claims, filed on February 4, 2008, have been entered in the aboveidentified application.

#### REJECTIONS

 The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baig (U.S.
 Patent No. 6,268,042) in view of Baig (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0139611).

Baig '042 discloses a building panel (col. 1, lines 15-24) having a core made form a starch gel (col. 3, lines 21-26) and mineral wool fiber (abstract) composition, with the mineral wool fibers ranging from 17 to 25 weight percent of the core (abstract). Baig '042 does not explicitly disclose that the starch gel ranging from 75 to 83 weight percent of the core

Application/Control Number: 10/789,000

Art Unit: 1794

composition. However, the rest of the materials that form the 75 to 83 weight percent of the core are similar to that of the composition of the starch gel disclosed by applicant (*specification*, *page 8*, *line 17 through page 9*, *line 5*). Therefore, Baig '042 is deemed to disclose that the starch gel ranges from 75 to 83 weight percent of the core composition

Baig '042 fails to disclose wherein the front surface of the tile is coated with aggregate particles.

Baig '611 discloses a building panel (title) having a core (fiber rich surface layer made of mineral wool fibers, paragraph 0021) made form a starch gel (starch binder of starch in the form of a gel, paragraph 0027) and mineral wool fiber (fiber rich surface layer made of mineral wool fibers, paragraph 0021) composition, wherein the front surface of the tile is coated with aggregate particles (calcium carbonate particle coating, paragraph 0061). The aggregate particles are selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, crushed marble, sand, clay, perlite, vermiculite, crushed stone and glass and more specifically calcium carbonater (paragraph 0061). The building material has a noise reduction coefficient (NRC) value of at least about 0.50 (paragraph 0062).

Baig '611 further disclose that the particles are coarse (paragraph 0061). It is noted that Applicant defines coarse particles as to have a mean diameter of 2,500 microns (specification page 9, lines 28-30). Therefore, Baig '611 is deemed to disclose particles with a mean diameter of 2,500 microns.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a layer of aggregate particles as taught by Baig '611 to Baig '042 in order to improve sound absorption.

Art Unit: 1794

The preamble "acoustical ceiling tile" is deemed to be a statement with regard to the intended use and is not further limiting in so far as the structure of the product is concerned. In article claims, a claimed intended use must result in a *structural difference* between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. MPEP § 2111.02.

The limitation "abuse-resistant" is a functional limitation and is deemed to be a latent property of the prior art since the prior art is substantially identical in composition and/or structure. MPEP 2145 (II).

The limitation "cast" is a method limitation and does not determine the patentability of the product, unless the process produces unexpected results. The method of forming the product is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself, unless Applicant presents evidence from which the Examiner could reasonably conclude that the claimed product differs in kind from those of the prior art. MPEP 2113.

#### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

 Applicant's arguments in the response filed February 4, 2008 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are moot due to the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,000 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Alicia Chevalier/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

4/4/2008